

Reference	Issue/Concern/Comment on community actions 15/10/17 & November consultation	Response	Action to be undertaken
Overall	Several responses below relate to queries about who should be carrying out / managing / funding the indicated community action.	Opinion sought from NHPC as to which actions they would be willing to take primary responsibility for. Views also being sought from other possible bodies. Internal review to be carried out using the feedback.	Community actions rewritten taking into account the NHPC response. Responsibilities attached to other bodies need to be agreed by them in writing.
CAEE1	Should be run by local businesses.	Suggestion not adopted.	No action needed.
CAEE1	Should be self-funded.	Suggestion not adopted.	No action needed.
CAEE1	Is funding available from NHPC? What is the cost to local taxpayers?	This is a matter for NHPC who have stated that they can't commit a future Council to unspecified levels of funding on a project that has not been fully planned and agreed.	NHPC funding element removed from the text.
CAEE2	Needs weightier influence than NHPC. Maybe VOWHDC?	Agreed.	Text amended.
CAEE2	Suggest adding 'artists' as well as social enterprises	Agreed.	Arts / crafts use included in text.
CAEE3	Needs to be business led.	Suggestion not adopted.	No action needed.
CAEE4	Clarification needed. What does this mean in reality?	Too general to warrant revision (N.B. CAEE4 is now CAEE3).	No action needed.
CAET1	How?	Too rhetorical to support revision of action.	No action needed.
Transport	Need to resolve business related traffic issue (e.g. Curtis Yard / North Hinksey Business Centre).	Need to identify "issue" and think about proposals for resolving it.	Policy TR3 amended to cover this. No further action needed.
Transport	Parking issues at North Hinksey School need fixing.	NHPC have tried many times previously. School won't help, County not interested unless more deaths. Problem of enforcement – police too busy.	No action needed.
Transport	Need safe cycle routes in and out of Oxford.	In parish dealt with by TR1. Outside it not our responsibility.	No action needed.
Transport	Needs action over air pollution.	NHPC has no powers. But see TR5 for A34.	No action needed.
Transport	Support re-routing bus service 66 through Botley.	AP has spoken to Martin Sutton, head of Stagecoach, who will look into it.	No action needed.
Transport	The Plan should support 'Cycle Safe', 'Oxfordshire Cycle Network' and plans for a joined-up cycle network across Oxfordshire.	Need to define what aspects are relevant. N Plan can't determine cyclists' behaviour. What bits of a joined up cycle network refer to North Hinksey?	No action needed.
CATR1	Encourage parishioners to do this too.	OK, but cannot make them do this.	No action needed.
CATR1	Need to work with the County Council to reduce air pollution from the A34 (x 2 comments).	A34 is Highways Agency, not County Council. Needs govt legislation. Talk to MP.	No action needed.

Reference	Issue/Concern/Comment on community actions 15/10/17 & November consultation	Response	Action to be undertaken
CATR1	Need to enforce the 50mph speed limit on the A34.	Police say they do, but don't have the resources to do so continually.	No action needed.
CASI1	Support for a skatepark.	This is referred to in the supporting leisure facilities document, plus the shortfall is being addressed in the current NHPC review and development of a masterplan.	No action needed.
CASI1	Add specific shortfall for teenagers. Would like a zipwire, big swings and a 'crows nest' climbing frame to be added for teens and younger.	This shortfall is clearly identified in the supporting leisure facilities document and in CASI1 plus the shortfall is being addressed in the current NHPC review and development of a masterplan.	No action needed.
CASI1	Agree redevelopment of Pavilion and Scout Hut required, but disagree that there is a leisure facilities shortfall.	NHPC surveys have identified a shortfall in leisure facilities for teenagers. NB See also the supporting document on leisure facilities for further details.	No action needed.
CASI2	How? Not NHPC responsibility.	See the 'overall' section above. NHPC asked to comment and they provided proposed changes to CASI2.	Amended in line with NHPC proposals.
CASI2	NHPC to communicate to parishioners in liaison with ABC?	See the 'overall' section above. NHPC asked to comment and they provided proposed changes to CASI2.	Amended in line with NHPC proposals.
CASI3	Land retained by NHPC to be properly maintained, covenanted land not retained to have covenant amended to ensure positive use for play.	The Neighbourhood Plan can't commit NHPC under future Councils to amend covenants on land and in some cases it might not be possible to amend a covenant if it is attached to the land rather than to NHPC as a user. CASI3 commits NHPC to ongoing retention of leisure land where possible and proper maintenance of such land.	No action needed.
CASI4	Should concentrate on provision of S106 monies for infrastructure.	CASI4 does focus on use of S106 and CIL funds for infrastructure.	No action needed.
CASI4	Shouldn't assume increasing population, need to consider quality of life for residents.	Quality of life is important and is considered throughout the Neighbourhood Plan. This community action recommends action	No action needed.

Reference	Issue/Concern/Comment on community actions 15/10/17 & November consultation	Response	Action to be undertaken
		required if the population increases, it doesn't cause or encourage population growth. Given the levels of population growth assumed for Oxfordshire / the Vale up to 2031 in the Local Plan and elsewhere it is both logical and sensible to assume that some growth will occur in North Hinksey Parish even though no further sites for major housing developments have been identified.	
CAUT1 & CAUT2	Best done by linking with Low Carbon West Oxford, not setting up a separate group?	See the 'overall' section above. N.B. LCWO are currently overstretched in West Oxford, although they could still potentially provide support and advice.	See the 'overall' section above. Association Botley Communities being asked whether they might help set up such a group in the future.
CAUT1 & CAUT2	Good to raise awareness but needs to have teeth to change development outcomes.	Unfortunately NHPC and other local bodies do not have enforcement powers.	No action needed.
CAUT3	Remove 'small'.	CAUT3 now removed from the Plan as the scheme is actually outside of the Parish, however NHPC will continue to monitor the situation and liaise with the Environment Agency.	No action needed following removal of CAUT3.
CAUT3	Best done by NHPC but scheme itself not in North Hinksey Parish.	See above comments on CAUT3.	No action needed following removal of CAUT3.
CAUT3	Tell the Flood Alleviation team to change their maps to show both North Hinksey Village and Botley.	See above comments on CAUT3.	No action needed following removal of CAUT3.
CAUT4	Where is the incentive for relevant bodies to do this?	CAUT4 now incorporated within CAUT2. (a) Current breaching of legal limits (b) Income from FiT could help cover cost (c) Help authorities meet CO2 reduction targets	Being referred to ABC as a possible future project but no other action needed following incorporation of CAUT4 within CAUT2.
CAUT4	Not sure about barriers on the flyover section as it is currently useful to check how traffic is flowing on the A34.	CAUT4 now incorporated within CAUT2. As a potential future project the details would need to be established at a later date and don't need to be identified in this Plan.	No action needed following incorporation of CAUT4 within CAUT2.

Reference	Issue/Concern/Comment on community actions 15/10/17 & November consultation	Response	Action to be undertaken
CAUT4	Urge VOWHDC to monitor air quality in Botley.	CAUT4 now incorporated within CAUT2.	No action needed following incorporation of CAUT4 within CAUT2
CAUT5	Need enforcement of permeable parking surfaces.	Unfortunately NHPC and other local bodies do not have enforcement powers. CAUT5 now incorporated within CAUT1.	No action needed following incorporation of CAUT5 within CAUT1.
CAGS1	Keep verges/planters etc. & add wherever possible into/next to existing footpaths, pavements etc.	WG6 agrees.	No further action from NP but encourage NHPC and WWCC to discuss with BDC to encourage this in West Way development.
CAGS2	Raising awareness good but green spaces need a positive function. Widen agenda to include healthy living.	Other community actions relate to encouraging healthy activities in the Parish.	No action needed.
CAGS2	Add ownership of the green spaces.	Not permitted due to data protection. Many green spaces in Parish are owned & publicly acknowledged by NHPC and Oxford City Council.	No action needed.
CABU1	Do these need to be formal?		See CABU4
CABU2	Should primarily be the responsibility of Brookes.	See the 'overall' section above.	See the 'overall' section above.
CABU2	Don't Brookes do this anyway?	See the 'overall' section above.	See the 'overall' section above.
CABU2	Very important. Provide 'pocket parks' for play and kick-about.		Difficult within the framework of the Campus but see CABU4
CABU3	Nice in theory but impossible to liaise with Brookes.		See CABU4
CABU3	Should primarily be the responsibility of Brookes.	See the 'overall' section above.	See the 'overall' section above.
CABU3	Things happen anyway. Not our responsibility.	See the 'overall' section above.	See the 'overall' section above.
CABU1	Clarification needed. Who will do what.		See CABU4